

Theoretical Perspectives on Socioeconomic Vulnerability as a Mediating Factor in the Macroeconomic-Smoking Relationship

Tran Minh Khoa¹, Nguyen Thi Bao Chau², Le Van Quang³

Abstract

Educational attainment has long been recognized as a fundamental determinant of population health outcomes, with extensive research demonstrating consistent inverse relationships between years of formal schooling and engagement in various health-risk behaviors. This comprehensive review examines the theoretical frameworks that explain how educational level influences health-risk behaviors, including smoking, excessive alcohol consumption, sedentary lifestyle patterns, and poor dietary choices. The analysis explores multiple mechanistic pathways through which education operates as a protective factor, including the development of health literacy, enhancement of cognitive abilities for risk assessment, expansion of social capital networks, and improvement of economic resources that facilitate healthier lifestyle choices. Key theoretical perspectives examined include human capital theory, social cognitive theory, and the fundamental cause theory of health disparities. The review synthesizes evidence from epidemiological studies, behavioral economics research, and social psychology investigations to construct a multidimensional understanding of education-health relationships. Particular attention is given to mathematical modeling approaches that quantify these relationships and identify critical thresholds where educational interventions may yield optimal population health benefits. The analysis reveals that while higher educational attainment consistently predicts lower engagement in health-risk behaviors, the magnitude and mechanisms of these effects vary significantly across different types of risky behaviors, demographic subgroups, and socioeconomic contexts. Understanding these theoretical foundations provides essential insights for developing targeted public health interventions and educational policies aimed at reducing health disparities.

¹ University of Information Technology – VNU-HCM, 35 Le Thanh Tong Street, Thu Duc City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

² Can Tho University of Technology, 72A Vo Van Kiet Avenue, Ninh Kieu District, Can Tho City, Vietnam

³ Quy Nhon University of Technology, 58 Nguyen Hue Street, Quy Nhon City, Binh Dinh Province, Vietnam

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Understanding Education-Health Relationships	2
3	Mechanisms Linking Educational Attainment to Health Behavior Patterns	3
4	Education-Health Relationships	4
5	Differential Effects Across Health-Risk Behavior Types	5
6	Socioeconomic and Demographic Moderators	6
7	Implications	7
8	Conclusion	9

References

10

1. Introduction

The relationship between educational attainment and health outcomes represents one of the most robust and consistent findings in public health research, with implications extending far beyond individual well-being to encompass broader societal health patterns and healthcare system sustainability [1]. Educational level serves as a powerful predictor of various health-risk behaviors, including tobacco use, excessive alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, and poor nutritional choices, with higher levels of formal education generally associated with reduced engagement in behaviors that compromise long-term health outcomes. This phenomenon has been observed across diverse populations, geographic regions, and his-

torical periods, suggesting fundamental mechanisms through which education operates as a protective factor against health-compromising behaviors.

The significance of understanding these educational-health relationships extends beyond academic interest to practical applications in public policy, healthcare delivery, and population health intervention design. As healthcare costs continue to escalate globally, with preventable diseases accounting for substantial portions of healthcare expenditure, identifying modifiable factors that influence health-risk behaviors becomes increasingly critical for sustainable healthcare systems. Educational interventions represent potentially cost-effective approaches to population health improvement, particularly given their potential for long-term benefits that extend across multiple health domains and generations. [2]

Theoretical explanations for the education-health relationship encompass multiple disciplines, including economics, psychology, sociology, and public health, each contributing unique perspectives on the mechanisms through which educational attainment influences health-related decision-making. Human capital theory suggests that education enhances individuals' ability to process health information effectively, make informed decisions about health behaviors, and navigate complex healthcare systems. Social cognitive theory emphasizes the role of education in developing self-efficacy and behavioral regulation skills that enable individuals to adopt and maintain healthy behaviors despite environmental challenges and social pressures.

The complexity of education-health relationships necessitates sophisticated analytical approaches that can account for multiple interacting factors, temporal dynamics, and potential confounding variables. Mathematical modeling techniques have emerged as valuable tools for quantifying these relationships, identifying critical thresholds, and predicting the population-level impacts of educational interventions [3]. These models incorporate variables such as socioeconomic status, social support networks, neighborhood characteristics, and individual psychological factors to provide comprehensive understanding of how education influences health behavior patterns.

Contemporary research has revealed that the protective effects of education are not uniformly distributed across all health-risk behaviors or population subgroups. The magnitude of educational effects varies significantly depending on the specific behavior examined, with some behaviors showing strong educational gradients while others demonstrate more modest associations. Additionally, the mechanisms through which education influences different health-risk behaviors may operate through distinct pathways, requiring tailored theoretical frameworks and intervention approaches.

2. Understanding Education-Health Relationships

The theoretical foundation for understanding how educational attainment influences health-risk behaviors draws from multiple disciplinary perspectives, each offering distinct insights into the mechanisms through which formal schooling affects health-related decision-making processes [4]. Human capital theory, originally developed in economics, provides a fundamental framework for understanding education as an investment in cognitive abilities and information processing skills that enhance individuals' capacity to make optimal health decisions. This perspective emphasizes that education increases the efficiency with which individuals can acquire, process, and apply health-related information, leading to better risk assessment capabilities and more informed behavioral choices.

According to human capital theory, educational attainment enhances several cognitive competencies that directly influence health behavior patterns. These include improved literacy skills that enable individuals to understand health information materials, enhanced numeracy abilities that facilitate comprehension of statistical health risks, and developed critical thinking skills that allow for evaluation of conflicting health information sources. The theory suggests that individuals with higher educational levels possess superior abilities to weigh the costs and benefits of different behavioral choices, leading to decisions that optimize long-term health outcomes even when they require short-term sacrifices or behavioral modifications.

Social cognitive theory provides another essential theoretical framework that emphasizes the role of observational learning, self-efficacy beliefs, and environmental factors in shaping health behaviors [5]. From this perspective, educational experiences provide individuals with expanded opportunities to observe positive health behavior models, develop confidence in their ability to adopt healthy behaviors, and acquire skills for managing environmental barriers to healthy choices. Educational settings expose individuals to diverse social networks that may include peers and mentors who model healthy behaviors, thereby expanding the range of behavioral options that individuals consider feasible and desirable.

The development of self-efficacy beliefs through educational experiences represents a critical mechanism through which schooling influences health behaviors. Educational environments provide numerous opportunities for individuals to experience mastery through academic achievements, develop problem-solving skills through challenging coursework, and build confidence in their ability to overcome obstacles through persistence and effort. These experiences translate into enhanced self-efficacy for health behavior change, as individuals who have successfully navigated educational challenges develop confidence in their ability to adopt and maintain difficult health behaviors such as smoking cessation or regular exercise participation. [6]

Fundamental cause theory offers a sociological perspec-

tive that emphasizes how education operates as a fundamental social cause of health disparities by providing individuals with flexible resources that can be applied to avoid health risks regardless of the specific mechanisms through which those risks operate. This theory suggests that education provides individuals with knowledge, money, power, and social connections that can be deployed to maintain health advantages even as the specific pathways to health change over time. The flexibility of educational resources explains why educational health advantages persist across different historical periods and health challenges.

The fundamental cause perspective highlights how education provides individuals with multiple pathways to health protection, making educational advantages resilient to changes in specific health threats or intervention approaches. For example, individuals with higher educational levels may initially avoid smoking due to knowledge about lung cancer risks, but later maintain their non-smoking status due to social network influences or economic motivations, demonstrating how educational resources can be redeployed as health knowledge and social contexts evolve. [7]

Social capital theory emphasizes how educational experiences expand individuals' social networks and strengthen their connections to resources that support healthy behaviors. Educational institutions serve as important sources of social capital by connecting individuals to peers, mentors, and community networks that provide information, support, and opportunities for healthy behavior engagement. The quality and extent of social connections developed through educational experiences influence individuals' access to health-promoting resources and their exposure to social norms that either support or discourage health-risk behaviors.

3. Mechanisms Linking Educational Attainment to Health Behavior Patterns

The pathways through which educational attainment influences health-risk behaviors operate through multiple interconnected mechanisms that span cognitive, social, economic, and psychological domains. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for developing effective interventions and predicting how educational changes might influence population health outcomes [8]. The cognitive pathway emphasizes how education enhances information processing abilities, decision-making skills, and health literacy that enable individuals to make more informed choices about health behaviors.

Health literacy represents a critical mediating factor in the education-health relationship, encompassing individuals' abilities to obtain, process, and understand basic health information needed to make appropriate health decisions. Educational experiences develop fundamental literacy and numeracy skills that form the foundation for health literacy, while also providing specific knowledge about biological processes, disease mechanisms, and health behavior consequences. Individuals with higher educational levels demonstrate superior abilities to navigate complex health information environments, criti-

cally evaluate health claims, and integrate multiple sources of health information into coherent decision-making frameworks.

The development of temporal perspective through educational experiences represents another important cognitive mechanism linking education to health behaviors. Educational environments emphasize delayed gratification, long-term planning, and investment in future outcomes, cognitive orientations that translate directly to health behavior contexts where immediate pleasures must often be weighed against long-term health consequences [9]. Individuals who have successfully navigated educational systems develop enhanced abilities to consider future consequences of current behavioral choices, leading to reduced engagement in behaviors that provide immediate gratification but compromise long-term health outcomes.

Economic mechanisms linking education to health behaviors operate through multiple pathways, including direct income effects, employment stability, and access to health-promoting resources. Higher educational levels typically translate into increased earning potential, providing individuals with greater financial resources to purchase healthy foods, access recreational facilities, obtain preventive healthcare services, and live in environments that support healthy behaviors. The economic security associated with higher educational attainment also reduces stress levels that might otherwise contribute to engagement in health-risk behaviors as coping mechanisms.

The relationship between education and employment characteristics extends beyond simple income effects to encompass job-related factors that influence health behavior patterns [10]. Individuals with higher educational levels typically have greater occupational autonomy, more flexible work schedules, and access to employer-sponsored health promotion programs, all of which facilitate healthy behavior adoption and maintenance. Additionally, certain occupational environments associated with higher educational requirements may have social norms that discourage health-risk behaviors such as smoking or excessive alcohol consumption.

Social mechanisms linking education to health behaviors emphasize how educational experiences shape social networks, cultural orientations, and identity formation processes that influence health-related decision-making. Educational institutions serve as important venues for social network formation, connecting individuals to peers who may share similar values regarding health and self-care. The social networks developed through educational experiences often persist beyond formal schooling periods, providing ongoing sources of social support, accountability, and modeling for healthy behaviors. [11]

The cultural capital acquired through educational experiences influences individuals' orientations toward their bodies, health, and future planning in ways that affect health behavior patterns. Higher educational levels are associated with cultural orientations that emphasize personal responsibility for

health outcomes, belief in the efficacy of preventive health measures, and integration of health considerations into identity and lifestyle choices. These cultural orientations create internal motivations for healthy behavior adoption that may be more sustainable than external motivations based solely on immediate consequences or social pressures.

Psychological mechanisms linking education to health behaviors include the development of psychological resources such as sense of control, emotional regulation skills, and stress management capabilities that influence how individuals respond to health-related challenges and temptations. Educational experiences provide numerous opportunities to develop coping skills, build resilience, and enhance emotional intelligence, psychological competencies that facilitate healthy behavior adoption and maintenance even in challenging circumstances. [12]

4. Education-Health Relationships

The quantitative analysis of relationships between educational attainment and health-risk behaviors requires sophisticated mathematical modeling approaches that can account for the complex, multidimensional nature of these associations while controlling for potential confounding factors and identifying critical thresholds where interventions may be most effective. Mathematical models provide essential tools for understanding the magnitude of educational effects, predicting population-level impacts of educational interventions, and optimizing resource allocation for maximum health benefits.

The fundamental mathematical relationship between educational attainment and health-risk behavior engagement can be expressed through a generalized logistic regression framework where the probability of engaging in a specific health-risk behavior is modeled as a function of educational level and relevant covariates. Let $P(Y = 1|X)$ represent the probability that an individual engages in a health-risk behavior, where Y is a binary outcome variable indicating behavior engagement and X represents a vector of predictor variables including educational attainment.

The basic logistic model takes the form:

$$\log \left(\frac{P(Y = 1|X)}{1 - P(Y = 1|X)} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Education} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{Age} + \beta_3 \cdot \text{Income} + \beta_4 \cdot \text{Gender} + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

where β_1 represents the log-odds coefficient for educational attainment, controlling for other demographic and socioeconomic factors [13]. The exponential of this coefficient, e^{β_1} , provides the odds ratio indicating how the odds of engaging in health-risk behavior change with each additional year of education.

To capture non-linear relationships between education and health behaviors, polynomial and spline models can be

incorporated. A quadratic education term allows for examination of whether educational effects demonstrate threshold characteristics:

$$\log \left(\frac{P(Y = 1|X)}{1 - P(Y = 1|X)} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Education} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{Education}^2 + \sum_{i=3}^k \beta_i \cdot X_i + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

The presence of a significant β_2 coefficient indicates non-linear educational effects, with positive values suggesting diminishing returns to additional education and negative values indicating accelerating benefits.

More sophisticated modeling approaches incorporate mediating pathway analysis to quantify the mechanisms through which education influences health behaviors. The mediation framework involves decomposing the total effect of education into direct effects and indirect effects operating through specified mediating variables such as health literacy, income, or social support [14]. For a single mediator M , the mediation model consists of two equations:

$$M = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \cdot \text{Education} + \alpha_2 \cdot \text{Covariates} + \varepsilon_1$$

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Education} + \beta_2 \cdot M + \beta_3 \cdot \text{Covariates} + \varepsilon_2$$

The indirect effect of education through the mediator is calculated as $\alpha_1 \times \beta_2$, while the direct effect is represented by β_1 . The proportion of the total effect mediated by the pathway is given by $\frac{\alpha_1 \times \beta_2}{\alpha_1 \times \beta_2 + \beta_1}$.

Multilevel modeling approaches account for the hierarchical structure of educational and health data, recognizing that individuals are nested within educational institutions, communities, and regions that may have independent effects on both educational attainment and health behaviors [15]. A two-level random intercept model can be specified as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Level 1: } Y_{ij} &= \beta_{0j} + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Education}_{ij} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{IndividualCovariates}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ \text{Level 2: } \beta_{0j} &= \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01} \cdot \text{ContextualFactors}_j + u_{0j} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where i indexes individuals and j indexes higher-level units such as schools or communities. This approach allows for estimation of both individual-level and contextual effects of educational factors on health behaviors.

Time-series analysis becomes essential when examining how changes in educational policies or programs influence population-level health behavior trends over time. Autoregressive integrated moving average models can capture temporal dependencies in health behavior prevalence:

$$\nabla^d X_t = \phi_1 \nabla^d X_{t-1} + \phi_2 \nabla^d X_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p \nabla^d X_{t-p} + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q} + \varepsilon_t \quad (4)$$

where X_t represents health behavior prevalence at time t , ∇^d indicates differencing to achieve stationarity, and educational intervention effects can be incorporated as exogenous variables. [16]

Dynamic modeling approaches examine how educational effects on health behaviors may change over the life course, incorporating age-period-cohort effects and educational mobility patterns. A age-period-cohort model for health behavior prevalence can be specified as:

$$\log(\mu_{apc}) = \alpha + \beta_a \text{Age} + \beta_p \text{Period} + \beta_c \text{Cohort} + \gamma \text{Education}_{ac} + \delta \text{Interactions} \quad (5)$$

where subscripts indicate age group a , time period p , and birth cohort c . This framework allows examination of how educational effects vary across different life stages and historical contexts.

Machine learning approaches, including random forests and neural networks, provide additional tools for capturing complex non-linear relationships and interactions between educational factors and health behaviors [17]. These methods can identify optimal educational intervention timing and targeting strategies by analyzing large datasets with multiple predictors and outcome measures.

The integration of these mathematical modeling approaches provides comprehensive quantitative frameworks for understanding education-health relationships, informing policy decisions, and optimizing intervention strategies to maximize population health benefits through educational investments.

5. Differential Effects Across Health-Risk Behavior Types

The relationship between educational attainment and health-risk behaviors demonstrates significant variation across different types of risk behaviors, with some behaviors showing strong educational gradients while others exhibit more modest or complex associations. Understanding these differential effects is crucial for developing targeted interventions and accurately predicting the population health impacts of educational policies. The magnitude and mechanisms of educational effects vary substantially depending on the specific characteristics of each health-risk behavior, including the immediacy of consequences, social acceptability, addictive potential, and complexity of behavior change requirements. [18]

Tobacco use represents one of the health-risk behaviors with the strongest and most consistent inverse relationship with educational attainment across diverse populations and settings. The educational gradient for smoking behavior is

particularly pronounced, with individuals possessing college degrees demonstrating smoking rates that are often less than half those observed among individuals with high school education or less. This strong educational gradient reflects multiple mechanisms through which education influences smoking behavior, including enhanced understanding of long-term health consequences, greater access to smoking cessation resources, reduced exposure to pro-smoking social environments, and increased social stigma associated with smoking in higher education communities.

The temporal pattern of educational effects on smoking behavior reveals interesting dynamics, with educational differences in smoking initiation becoming apparent during adolescence and young adulthood, while educational differences in smoking cessation emerge more prominently during middle adulthood. Young people with higher educational aspirations and achievement demonstrate lower rates of smoking experimentation and regular smoking adoption, while adults with higher educational attainment show greater success in smoking cessation attempts and lower rates of relapse following quit attempts. [19]

Alcohol consumption patterns demonstrate more complex relationships with educational attainment, with educational effects varying significantly depending on how alcohol use is measured and defined. While higher educational levels are associated with reduced rates of alcohol abuse and dependence, they are often associated with higher rates of moderate alcohol consumption, reflecting cultural and social factors that influence drinking patterns in different socioeconomic groups. The protective effects of education appear most pronounced for problematic drinking behaviors that interfere with social, occupational, or health functioning, while showing weaker or even positive associations with social drinking behaviors.

The complexity of education-alcohol relationships reflects the multifaceted nature of alcohol use in social contexts, where moderate consumption may serve important social and professional networking functions in higher socioeconomic groups. Educational effects on alcohol-related problems appear to operate primarily through enhanced ability to regulate consumption patterns, greater awareness of alcohol-related health risks, and reduced exposure to environments that promote excessive drinking behaviors.

Physical inactivity demonstrates moderate but consistent inverse relationships with educational attainment, with higher education levels associated with increased participation in regular physical activity and structured exercise programs [20]. However, the educational gradient for physical activity is generally less pronounced than for smoking behavior, reflecting the complex barriers to physical activity that extend beyond individual knowledge and motivation to include time constraints, access to facilities, and environmental factors that may be partially independent of educational status.

The mechanisms linking education to physical activity engagement include both direct pathways involving knowledge about exercise benefits and indirect pathways involv-

ing access to resources and environments that support active lifestyles. Individuals with higher educational levels typically have greater access to workplace wellness programs, recreational facilities, and neighborhoods with infrastructure supporting physical activity, while also possessing greater knowledge about effective exercise strategies and injury prevention techniques.

Dietary behavior patterns show complex relationships with educational attainment that vary significantly depending on the specific dietary components examined. Higher educational levels are consistently associated with increased consumption of fruits, vegetables, and whole grains, as well as reduced consumption of processed foods high in sodium, sugar, and unhealthy fats [21]. However, the magnitude of educational effects on dietary behaviors is typically smaller than for smoking behavior, reflecting the multiple barriers to healthy eating that extend beyond individual knowledge and preferences.

The relationship between education and dietary behaviors operates through several mechanisms, including nutritional knowledge, cooking skills, time availability for food preparation, access to healthy food sources, and cultural attitudes toward food and eating. Individuals with higher educational levels typically possess greater nutritional literacy, enabling them to interpret food labels, understand dietary recommendations, and make informed food choices. Additionally, higher educational attainment is associated with access to neighborhoods with greater availability of healthy food options and reduced exposure to food marketing that promotes unhealthy dietary choices.

Preventive healthcare utilization demonstrates strong positive relationships with educational attainment, with higher education levels associated with increased participation in screening programs, preventive medical visits, and health maintenance behaviors [22]. The educational gradient for preventive care utilization reflects both access factors related to insurance coverage and healthcare system navigation abilities, as well as demand factors related to health knowledge and attitudes toward prevention.

The consistency of educational effects across different preventive care behaviors suggests that education operates through fundamental mechanisms that influence general orientations toward health maintenance rather than behavior-specific pathways. Individuals with higher educational levels demonstrate greater understanding of the importance of preventive care, enhanced ability to communicate with healthcare providers, and increased comfort navigating complex healthcare systems.

6. Socioeconomic and Demographic Moderators

The relationship between educational attainment and health-risk behaviors is significantly moderated by various socioeconomic and demographic factors that influence both the magnitude and mechanisms of educational effects. Understanding

these moderating relationships is essential for developing targeted interventions and predicting how educational policies might differentially impact various population subgroups [23]. The heterogeneity of educational effects across different demographic categories reflects the complex ways in which social, economic, and cultural factors interact with educational resources to influence health behavior patterns.

Gender represents one of the most important demographic moderators of education-health relationships, with educational effects often demonstrating different patterns for men and women across various health-risk behaviors. For smoking behavior, educational gradients tend to be more pronounced among women than men, particularly for smoking cessation and relapse prevention. This gender differential may reflect differences in social support networks, coping strategies, and cultural norms regarding smoking behavior that interact with educational resources in gender-specific ways.

The mechanisms underlying gender differences in educational effects on health behaviors include variations in how men and women utilize educational resources for health decision-making, differences in social network influences, and distinct pathways through which education affects self-efficacy and behavioral control. Women with higher educational levels may be more likely to integrate health considerations into identity and lifestyle choices, while men may be more responsive to educational effects that operate through occupational and economic pathways. [24]

Age and life course stage significantly moderate the relationship between education and health-risk behaviors, with educational effects often varying substantially across different developmental periods. The protective effects of education for smoking behavior tend to be most pronounced during young adulthood and middle age, when the long-term consequences of smoking become more salient and the social and economic resources associated with higher education provide greater advantages for smoking cessation. Similarly, educational effects on physical activity demonstrate variation across the life course, with stronger effects often observed during periods when individuals have greater autonomy over their time allocation and activity choices.

Life course moderating effects reflect the dynamic nature of education-health relationships, where the salience and accessibility of educational resources for health behavior change vary depending on developmental tasks, social roles, and environmental constraints that characterize different life stages. Educational advantages may be most influential during life transitions when individuals are motivated to reassess their health behaviors and have opportunities to establish new behavioral patterns. [25]

Race and ethnicity represent important moderators of education-health relationships, with educational effects often showing different patterns across racial and ethnic groups. The protective effects of education for various health-risk behaviors may be attenuated in racial and ethnic minority groups due to structural barriers, discrimination, and cultural factors

Table 1. Moderators of Educational Effects on Health-Risk Behaviors

Moderator	Mechanism of Moderation	Effect on Smoking Behavior	Key References
Gender	Differences in health identity, social support, coping	Stronger gradients in women; varied cessation patterns	[23], [24]
Age / Life Course	Varying developmental tasks and autonomy	Education more protective in young/mid adulthood	[25]
Race / Ethnicity	Structural barriers, cultural norms	Attenuated benefits in minority groups	[26]
Socioeconomic Status	Interaction of economic means with education	Benefits highest at moderate SES levels	[27]
Geographic Location	Resource availability, rural-urban disparities	Weaker effects in rural due to limited access	[28]
Social Support	Family and community reinforcement	Amplifies education's effect via accountability	[28]

that limit the translation of educational resources into health advantages. For example, the relationship between education and access to healthy food options may be weaker in communities with limited availability of healthy food retailers, regardless of residents' educational levels.

The moderating effects of race and ethnicity highlight the importance of structural and environmental factors that can either amplify or diminish the health benefits associated with educational attainment. Educational policies aimed at reducing health disparities must consider how racial and ethnic inequalities in other domains may limit the effectiveness of educational interventions for improving health outcomes in minority communities. [26]

Socioeconomic status, measured through indicators such as family income, wealth, and occupational status, serves as both a confounder and moderator of education-health relationships. While education and socioeconomic status are closely related, they represent distinct dimensions of social stratification that may have independent and interactive effects on health behaviors. The health benefits associated with educational attainment may be most pronounced among individuals with moderate socioeconomic resources, while being less evident among those with very high or very low socioeconomic status.

The interaction between education and socioeconomic status in predicting health behaviors reflects the multiple pathways through which social advantage operates to promote health. Individuals with high educational attainment but limited economic resources may have knowledge and motivation for healthy behaviors but lack the financial means to implement them effectively. Conversely, individuals with substantial economic resources but limited educational attainment may have access to health-promoting resources but lack the knowledge or motivation to utilize them optimally. [27]

Geographic location and community characteristics moderate education-health relationships through their influence on

the availability of resources that support healthy behaviors and the social norms that govern health-related decision-making. Educational effects on health behaviors may be stronger in communities with greater availability of health-promoting resources and weaker in communities where structural barriers limit the translation of individual educational advantages into behavioral changes.

Rural versus urban residence represents a particularly important geographic moderator, with educational effects on health behaviors often showing different patterns in rural and urban communities. The protective effects of education for certain health behaviors may be attenuated in rural areas due to limited availability of healthcare services, recreational facilities, and healthy food options, while being enhanced in urban areas with greater resource availability but also greater exposure to health risks.

Family structure and social support networks moderate education-health relationships by influencing the social context within which educational resources are deployed for health behavior change [28]. Married individuals may experience stronger educational effects on health behaviors due to spousal support and accountability, while single individuals may rely more heavily on educational resources for developing autonomous health management skills.

The moderating effects of social support extend beyond family relationships to include friendship networks, workplace relationships, and community connections that can either reinforce or undermine the health benefits associated with educational attainment. Educational advantages may be most pronounced among individuals with strong social support networks that amplify the effects of educational resources on health behavior change.

7. Implications

The theoretical understanding of relationships between educational attainment and health-risk behaviors provides essential

foundations for developing evidence-based policy interventions and public health strategies aimed at reducing health disparities and improving population health outcomes. The multiple pathways through which education influences health behaviors suggest that interventions operating at different levels of the educational system and targeting various mechanisms may yield complementary benefits for population health improvement. [29]

Educational policy interventions aimed at improving population health outcomes must consider both the quantity and quality of educational experiences, recognizing that simply increasing years of schooling may not be sufficient to maximize health benefits if educational content and methods do not effectively develop the cognitive, social, and psychological resources that promote healthy behaviors. Curriculum reforms that integrate health education throughout the educational experience, rather than confining it to specialized health classes, may be more effective for developing the comprehensive health literacy and decision-making skills that characterize the education-health relationship.

The integration of health education into core academic subjects such as mathematics, science, and social studies provides opportunities to develop quantitative reasoning skills for health risk assessment, scientific literacy for evaluating health information, and critical thinking abilities for navigating complex health decision-making contexts. Mathematical education that includes applications to health risk calculation, statistical interpretation of health research, and economic analysis of health behavior costs and benefits may be particularly valuable for developing the analytical skills that enable individuals to make informed health decisions throughout their lives.

Early childhood education programs represent particularly promising intervention points for maximizing the health benefits of educational investments, given the foundational nature of early learning experiences for later educational achievement and health behavior development [30]. High-quality early childhood programs that develop cognitive abilities, emotional regulation skills, and social competencies may yield long-term health benefits that extend far beyond their immediate educational impacts.

The design of early childhood interventions should incorporate elements that specifically target the development of psychological and social resources that support healthy behaviors throughout the life course. Programs that emphasize self-regulation skill development, problem-solving abilities, and positive social relationship formation may be particularly effective for establishing foundations that later translate into healthy behavior adoption and maintenance.

Adult education and continuing education programs provide important opportunities to extend the health benefits of educational interventions to individuals who may have had limited access to high-quality formal education during traditional schooling periods. Community-based adult education programs that integrate health education components with

basic literacy and numeracy instruction may be particularly effective for reaching populations that experience the greatest health disparities.

The effectiveness of adult education interventions for health behavior change may be enhanced by incorporating motivational elements that address the specific life circumstances and health challenges faced by adult learners [31]. Programs that connect educational content directly to participants' immediate health concerns and life goals may be more successful in promoting sustained behavioral changes than those that focus primarily on abstract knowledge transmission.

Workplace education programs represent another important venue for extending educational health benefits to adult populations, particularly given the substantial portion of adult life spent in occupational settings. Employer-sponsored educational programs that combine job-related skill development with health education components may yield benefits for both productivity and employee health outcomes.

The integration of health promotion elements into workplace training and professional development programs provides opportunities to reach populations that might not otherwise participate in health education activities. Programs that emphasize the connections between health behaviors and job performance, career advancement, and financial security may be particularly effective for motivating behavioral changes among working adults. [32]

Higher education institutions have important roles to play in promoting health behaviors both among their student populations and in their broader communities. Campus-based health promotion programs that leverage the social and academic environments of higher education institutions may be particularly effective for establishing healthy behavior patterns during the critical developmental period of emerging adulthood.

The design of campus health promotion programs should capitalize on the unique characteristics of higher education environments, including peer influence networks, academic stress management needs, and career preparation activities. Programs that integrate health behavior promotion with academic success strategies, social networking opportunities, and career development activities may be more successful than those that treat health promotion as separate from other aspects of student life.

Community-based educational interventions that extend beyond formal educational institutions provide important opportunities to address the social and environmental factors that moderate education-health relationships [33]. Community health education programs that combine individual skill development with environmental and policy changes may be more effective than those that focus solely on individual behavior change.

The development of community-based interventions should incorporate principles of community engagement and participatory design that ensure programs are responsive to local needs, cultural contexts, and existing resources. Programs that

build on existing community strengths and social networks may be more sustainable and effective than those that impose external models without considering local contexts.

Technology-enhanced educational interventions provide opportunities to extend the reach and effectiveness of health education programs while reducing costs and increasing accessibility. Digital health education platforms that incorporate interactive elements, personalized feedback, and social networking features may be particularly effective for engaging diverse populations and supporting sustained behavior change efforts. [34]

The design of technology-based interventions should incorporate evidence-based principles of health behavior change while leveraging the unique capabilities of digital platforms for personalization, social connection, and continuous support. Programs that combine digital education components with human support and community connections may be more effective than purely technology-based approaches.

8. Conclusion

The comprehensive examination of theoretical perspectives linking educational attainment to health-risk behaviors reveals a complex, multidimensional relationship that operates through interconnected cognitive, social, economic, and psychological pathways. The consistency of educational effects across diverse health behaviors, populations, and settings demonstrates the fundamental importance of education as a determinant of population health outcomes, while the variation in these effects across different contexts highlights the nuanced ways in which educational resources translate into health advantages.

The theoretical frameworks examined in this review, including human capital theory, social cognitive theory, and fundamental cause theory, provide complementary explanations for the mechanisms through which education influences health behavior patterns. These frameworks collectively emphasize that education operates not through single pathways but through multiple interconnected mechanisms that enhance individuals' capacities for health-promoting decision-making, provide access to health-supporting resources, and create social and psychological contexts that facilitate healthy behavior adoption and maintenance.

The mathematical modeling approaches discussed in this analysis demonstrate the value of quantitative methods for understanding the magnitude and dynamics of education-health relationships while identifying critical thresholds and intervention points where educational investments may yield optimal population health returns. These modeling techniques provide essential tools for policy planning and resource allocation decisions, enabling evidence-based approaches to maximizing the health benefits of educational investments.

The differential effects of education across various health-risk behaviors underscore the importance of behavior-specific intervention approaches while also revealing common underlying mechanisms that suggest potential for comprehensive

health promotion strategies. The strong educational gradients observed for smoking behavior, the complex relationships evident for alcohol use patterns, and the moderate effects seen for physical activity and dietary behaviors each reflect distinct characteristics of these behaviors that interact with educational resources in unique ways.

The moderating effects of socioeconomic and demographic factors on education-health relationships highlight the importance of addressing structural inequalities and environmental barriers that may limit the translation of educational advantages into health benefits [35]. These findings emphasize that educational interventions alone may be insufficient to eliminate health disparities without concurrent efforts to address the broader social determinants of health that influence how educational resources can be utilized for health promotion.

The policy implications derived from this theoretical analysis suggest multiple intervention points and strategies for leveraging educational systems to improve population health outcomes. Early childhood education programs, curriculum reforms that integrate health education throughout the educational experience, adult and continuing education initiatives, workplace-based interventions, and community-based programs each offer distinct opportunities to enhance the health benefits of educational investments.

The evidence reviewed in this analysis supports a comprehensive approach to educational health promotion that recognizes the multifaceted nature of education-health relationships while targeting specific mechanisms and pathways through which educational resources can be most effectively deployed for health improvement. Such approaches require coordination across multiple sectors and levels of intervention, from individual behavior change programs to broad educational policy reforms. [36]

Future research directions should continue to explore the dynamic nature of education-health relationships across the life course, investigate the effectiveness of innovative intervention approaches that integrate educational and health promotion strategies, and examine how changing social, economic, and technological contexts may influence the mechanisms through which education affects health behaviors. Additionally, research should focus on understanding how to optimize educational interventions for maximum health benefits while ensuring that such benefits are equitably distributed across diverse population groups.

The theoretical foundations examined in this review provide robust support for continued investment in educational approaches to health promotion while highlighting the complexity of translating educational advantages into sustained health improvements. The multiple pathways through which education influences health behaviors suggest that comprehensive, multilevel interventions that address individual, social, and environmental factors simultaneously may be most effective for realizing the full potential of education as a tool for population health improvement.

Understanding these theoretical relationships provides es-

sential groundwork for developing more effective, targeted, and equitable approaches to health promotion that capitalize on the demonstrated power of educational interventions while addressing the structural and contextual factors that influence their effectiveness. The continued development and application of these theoretical insights will be crucial for addressing contemporary health challenges and reducing persistent health disparities in diverse populations worldwide. [37]

References

- [1] X. Hua, E. W. Lopes, K. E. Burke, A. N. Ananthakrishnan, J. M. Richter, C.-H. Lo, P. Lochhead, A. T. Chan, and H. Khalili, "Smoking behaviour changes after diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease and risk of all-cause mortality.," *Journal of Crohn's & colitis*, vol. 16, pp. 1030–1038, 2 2022.
- [2] K. Dasgupta, K. M. Ghimire, and G. Pacheco, "How heavy is the price of smoking? estimating the effects of prenatal smoking on child weight outcomes," *Applied Economics*, vol. 54, pp. 5159–5175, 2 2022.
- [3] M. Boeckmann, S. Warsi, M. Noor, O. Dogar, E. H. Mustagfira, F. Firoze, R. Zahid, A. Readshaw, K. Siddiqi, and D. Kotz, "Health worker and patient views on implementation of smoking cessation in routine tuberculosis care.," *NPJ primary care respiratory medicine*, vol. 29, pp. 34–34, 9 2019.
- [4] D. P. Tashkin, B. R. Celli, S. Kesten, T. Lystig, S. Mehra, and M. Decramer, "Long-term efficacy of tiotropium in relation to smoking status in the uplift trial," *The European respiratory journal*, vol. 35, pp. 287–294, 8 2009.
- [5] A. B. Feigl, J. A. Salomon, G. Danaei, E. L. Ding, and E. Calvo, "Teenage smoking behaviour following a high-school smoking ban in chile: interrupted time-series analysis," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 93, pp. 468–475, 4 2015.
- [6] P. E. Etcheverry, C. R. Agnew, and M. A. Newberry, "Weather and the prediction of daily cigarette smoking," *Journal of Smoking Cessation*, vol. 7, pp. 37–40, 7 2012.
- [7] F. McCree, J. Liu, J. Strohmeier, A. S. BARNES, D. Rainis, S. S. Olin, E. Morden, N. Omar, P. B. Santora, L. R. McKnight-Eily, N. Suryavanshi, G. Dhumal, S. R. Cox, S. Sangle, A. N. DeLuca, M. Santre, A. Gupta, G. Chander, H. E. Hutton, J. Mahadevan, U. K. Panda, D. Kavati, C. Likhitha, N. Kubenthiran, P. Chand, A. Singhal, P. Murthy, S. Arora, G. Bhatia, A. Parmar, S. Sarkar, J. Ferguson, M. Lesse, and M. M. Shah, "Proceedings of the 17th annual international inebria conference," *Addiction Science & Clinical Practice*, vol. 17, 6 2022.
- [8] S. E. Keating, A. Sabag, K. Hallsworth, I. J. Hickman, G. A. Macdonald, J. G. Stine, J. George, and N. A. Johnson, "Exercise in the management of metabolic-associated fatty liver disease (mafl) in adults: A position statement from exercise and sport science australia.," *Sports medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)*, vol. 53, pp. 2347–2371, 9 2023.
- [9] R. R. Huxley and M. Woodward, "Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in women compared with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies," *Lancet (London, England)*, vol. 378, pp. 1297–1305, 8 2011.
- [10] Y. K. Cheah, K. K. Lim, H. Ismail, R. Salleh, C. C. Kee, and K. H. Lim, "Knowledge of calories and its influencing factors: evidence from a fast-growing developing country," *British Food Journal*, vol. 125, pp. 1300–1316, 7 2022.
- [11] J. W. Smith, A. J. Mogg, E. Tafi, E. Peacey, I. A. Pullar, P. G. Szekeres, and M. D. Tricklebank, "Ligands selective for 42 but not 34 or 7 nicotinic receptors generalise to the nicotine discriminative stimulus in the rat," *Psychopharmacology*, vol. 190, pp. 157–170, 11 2006.
- [12] S. G. Hutchinson, I. Mesters, G. J. P. van Breukelen, J. W. M. Muris, F. J. M. Feron, S. K. Hammond, C. P. van Schayck, and E. Dompeling, "A motivational interviewing intervention to prevent passive smoke exposure (prepase) in children with a high risk of asthma: design of a randomised controlled trial.," *BMC public health*, vol. 13, pp. 177–177, 2 2013.
- [13] J. Becker, I. Hungerbuehler, O. Berg, M. Szamrovicz, A. Haubensack, A. Kormann, and M. Schaub, "Development of an integrative cessation program for co-smokers of cigarettes and cannabis: demand analysis, program description, and acceptability.," *Substance abuse treatment, prevention, and policy*, vol. 8, pp. 33–33, 9 2013.
- [14] J. Bu, K. D. Young, W. Hong, R. Ma, H. Song, Y. Wang, W. Zhang, M. Hampson, T. Hendler, and X. Zhang, "Effect of deactivation of activity patterns related to smoking cue reactivity on nicotine addiction," *Brain : a journal of neurology*, vol. 142, pp. 1827–1841, 4 2019.
- [15] J. J. Ware, X. Chen, J. M. Vink, A. Loukola, C. C. Minică, R. Pool, Y. Milanese, M. Mangino, C. Menni, J. Chen, R. E. Peterson, K. Auro, L.-P. Lyytikäinen, J. Wedenoja, A. I. Stiby, G. Hemani, G. Willemsen, J.-J. Hottenga, T. Korhonen, M. Heliövaara, M. Perola, R. J. Rose, L. Paternoster, N. J. Timpson, C. A. Wassenaar, A. Z. X. Zhu, G. D. Smith, O. T. Raitakari, T. Lehtimäki, M. Kähönen, S. Koskinen, T. D. Spector, B. W. J. H. Penninx, V. Salomaa, D. I. Boomsma, R. F. Tyndale, J. Kaprio, and M. R. Munafò, "Genome-wide meta-analysis of cotinine levels in cigarette smokers identifies locus at 4q13.2," *Scientific reports*, vol. 6, pp. 20092–20092, 2 2016.
- [16] J. A. Tauras and F. J. Chaloupka, "Public health initiatives for smoking cessation," *Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports*, vol. 1, pp. 391–395, 11 2007.
- [17] A. Singh, D. A. Fennell, A. M. Fry, P. Spiliopoulou, S. Spear, S. Dowson, S. M. Mason, K. Blyth, M. J. Fuchter, R. Brown, I. A. McNeish, R. Podyminogin, N. G.

- Ericson, J. Chow, J. Lin, C. Yuan, Z. Maliga, K. Teplitz, P.-C. Tsai, A. Quintanilla, P. K. Sorger, E. Kaldjian, T. George, K. A. Pennel, C. W. Steele, A. K. Roseweir, R. Jack-Stadt, C. Nixon, X. Cortés-Lavaud, C. S. Roxburgh, H. van Wyk, D. C. McMillan, P. G. Horgan, O. J. Sansom, J. Edwards, S. S. Mayengbam, M. K. Bhat, S. B. Malla, K. L. Redmond, A. Blake, E. Domingo, S. D. Richman, M. Youdell, I. Tomlinson, L. C. Brown, T. Maughan, M. Lawler, and P. D. Dunne, "Selected abstracts from the 2019 ncri cancer conference of national cancer research institute.," *British journal of cancer*, vol. 121, pp. 1–32, 10 2019.
- [18] N. Ebner, G. Foldes, T. Szabó, M. Tacke, S. Fülster, A. Sandek, W. Doehner, S. D. Anker, and S. von Haehling, "Assessment of serum cotinine in patients with chronic heart failure: self-reported versus objective smoking behaviour," *Clinical research in cardiology : official journal of the German Cardiac Society*, vol. 102, pp. 95–101, 8 2012.
- [19] T. B. Horwitz, J. V. Balbona, K. N. Paulich, and M. C. Keller, "Evidence of correlations between human partners based on systematic reviews and meta-analyses of 22 traits and uk biobank analysis of 133 traits.," *Nature human behaviour*, vol. 7, pp. 1568–1583, 8 2023.
- [20] T. Tängdén, S. Gustafsson, A. S. Rao, and E. Ingelsson, "A genome-wide association study in a large community-based cohort identifies multiple loci associated with susceptibility to bacterial and viral infections.," *Scientific reports*, vol. 12, pp. 2582–, 2 2022.
- [21] S.-J. Kwon and E. H. Telzer, "Social contextual risk taking in adolescence," *Nature Reviews Psychology*, vol. 1, pp. 393–406, 5 2022.
- [22] T. wei Hu, Z. Mao, Y. Liu, J. D. Beyer, and M. K. Ong, "Smoking, standard of living, and poverty in china," *Tobacco control*, vol. 14, pp. 247–250, 7 2005.
- [23] J. P. Allinson, R. Hardy, G. C. Donaldson, S. O. Shaheen, D. Kuh, and J. A. Wedzicha, "P217 chronic mucus hypersecretion may represent a biomarker of airways disease activity rather than simply a phenotype: A longitudinal study of a nationally representative british birth cohort," *Thorax*, vol. 70, pp. A186.1–A186, 11 2015.
- [24] Y. Yang, "Does economic growth induce smoking?—evidence from china," *Empirical Economics*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 821–845, 2022.
- [25] E. Bilevicius, A. Single, C. Baumgartner, V. Bui, T. Kempe, M. Schaub, S. H. Stewart, J. MacKillop, D. C. Hodgins, J. D. Wardell, R. M. O'Connor, J. P. Read, H. D. Hadjistavropoulos, C. Sundström, and M. T. Keough, "Developing and testing the effectiveness of a novel online integrated treatment for problem gambling and tobacco smoking: a protocol for an open-label randomized controlled trial," *Trials*, vol. 21, pp. 937–937, 11 2020.
- [26] R. J. O'Connor, K. M. June, and M. Bansal-Travers, "Associations of night smoking and cravings with quit intentions and attempts," *Journal of Smoking Cessation*, vol. 12, pp. 71–75, 11 2015.
- [27] H.-H. Yong, R. Borland, K. M. Cummings, S. Gravely, J. F. Thrasher, A. McNeill, S. C. Hitchman, E. M. Greenhalgh, M. E. Thompson, and G. T. Fong, "Reasons for regular vaping and for its discontinuation among smokers and recent ex-smokers: findings from the 2016 itc four country smoking and vaping survey," *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, vol. 114, pp. 35–48, 4 2019.
- [28] C. Cannonier, M. G. Burke, and K. Steward, "Smoking, health and academic outcomes: evidence from a limited smoking campus policy.," *Health economics, policy, and law*, vol. 14, pp. 205–230, 6 2018.
- [29] V. Bui, C. Baumgartner, E. Bilevicius, A. Single, L. Vedelago, V. Morris, T. Kempe, M. P. Schaub, S. H. Stewart, J. MacKillop, D. C. Hodgins, J. D. Wardell, R. O'Connor, J. Read, H. D. Hadjistavropoulos, C. Sundström, S. Dermody, A. H. Kim, and M. T. Keough, "Efficacy of a novel online integrated treatment for problem gambling and tobacco smoking: Results of a randomized controlled trial.," *Journal of behavioral addictions*, vol. 12, pp. 168–181, 2 2023.
- [30] C. Y. Leung, G. M. Leung, and C. M. Schooling, "Early second-hand smoke exposure and child and adolescent mental health: evidence from hong kong's 'children of 1997' birth cohort," *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, vol. 110, pp. 1811–1824, 8 2015.
- [31] M. Fu, Y. Castellano, O. Tigova, P. Driezen, M. E. Thompson, S. C. Kaai, A. C. K. Quah, G. T. Fong, C. I. Vardavas, and E. Fernández, "Itc eures- plus spain: protocol of a prospective longitudinal study of smokers in spain.," *Gaceta sanitaria*, vol. 37, pp. 102307–102307, 5 2023.
- [32] F. A. Hagenbeek, J. S. Hirzinger, S. Breunig, S. Bruins, D. V. Kuznetsov, K. Schut, V. V. Odintsova, and D. I. Boomsma, "Maximizing the value of twin studies in health and behaviour.," *Nature human behaviour*, vol. 7, pp. 849–860, 5 2023.
- [33] D. R. Bakaloudi, K. Evripidou, A. Siargkas, J. Breda, and M. Chourdakis, "Impact of covid-19 lockdown on smoking and vaping: systematic review and meta-analysis.," *Public health*, vol. 218, pp. 160–172, 2 2023.
- [34] G. M. Leyden, M. P. Greenwood, V. Gaborieau, Y. Han, C. I. Amos, P. Brennan, D. Murphy, G. D. Smith, and T. G. Richardson, "Disentangling the aetiological pathways between body mass index and site-specific cancer risk using tissue-partitioned mendelian randomisation.," *British journal of cancer*, vol. 128, pp. 618–625, 11 2022.
- [35] J. P. Allinson, R. Hardy, G. C. Donaldson, S. O. Shaheen, D. Kuh, and J. A. Wedzicha, "The relationship between cigarette smoking intensity and chronic mucus hypersecretion (cmh) at different ages within a nationally

representative birth cohort,” *6.1 Epidemiology*, vol. 46, pp. PA1109–, 10 2015.

- [36] D. T. Levy, C. J. Cadham, Z. Yuan, Y. Li, S. Gravely, and K. M. Cummings, “Comparison of smoking prevalence in canada before and after nicotine vaping product access using the simsmoke model.,” *Canadian journal of public health = Revue canadienne de sante publique*, vol. 114, pp. 992–1005, 8 2023.
- [37] L. Garey, E. T. Hébert, N. A. Mayorga, J. Chavez, J. M. Shepherd, M. S. Businelle, and M. J. Zvolensky, “Evaluating the feasibility and acceptability of a mobile-based health technology for smoking cessation: Mobile anxiety sensitivity program.,” *The British journal of clinical psychology*, vol. 61 Suppl 1, pp. 111–129, 5 2021.